Delivering the Right Dose

A guide to understanding CT dose metrics in an evolving regulatory environment.
The Changing Landscape of Managing Radiation Dose in CT Imaging

Deliver the Right Dose

The use of computed tomography (CT) scans has soared over the last three decades. In 1980, there were 3 million CT scans performed in the United States. By 2011 that figure had risen to over 85 million.1-3 CT ranks as one of the top five medical developments in the last 40 years.4

With the growth of CT both consumer and provider radiation dose awareness is elevated. Today, dose management is one of the top 10 technology issues confronting administrators at hospitals and radiology clinics.5 As states like Connecticut and Minnesota consider adopting legislation similar to the California and Texas mandates on radiation dose, you should be prepared to measure, track, and review your own radiation dose levels.

With increased regulatory and compliance requirements, you need to deliver the best possible outcome to your patients while managing your overall dose trends – balancing radiation dose with image quality.

Lack of standardization of imaging protocols across an enterprise can lead to widely varying patient dose. Healthcare systems without a dose informatics system may not have an accurate overall view of the radiation delivered to patients.

Estimating Radiation Dose in CT

Radiation output for CT is commonly reported in terms of a volume CT dose index (CTDIvol) in units of milligray (mGy) to one of two possible phantoms based on the scan field of view. These are a 16-cm-diameter cylindrical acrylic phantom (head or pediatric body exams) and a 32-cm-diameter cylindrical acrylic phantom (body exams). CTDIvol is not patient absorbed dose, skin dose, or organ dose. It is a useful metric in comparing protocols across devices and evaluating the effects of parameter settings. Actual patient dose may be overestimated or underestimated relative to CTDIvol in some cases by a factor of 2 to 3 when compared against CTDIvol.6,7

There are multiple metrics available for characterizing radiation output from CT devices. However, transforming this information into an estimate of actual patient dose for every procedure is difficult due to confounding factors such as variability in body habitus, positioning and imaging technique.

A standards-based approach to this problem is the calculation of SSDE. Developed by the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM) in their Task Group 204 report, SSDE is calculated using a measure of device radiation output – the CTDIvol – and patient diameter or effective diameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTDIvol (Volume</td>
<td>mGy x cm</td>
<td>Describes scanner radiation output independent of patient size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed Tomography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose Index)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLP (Dose Length</td>
<td>mGy x cm</td>
<td>Describes the total amount of energy delivered to the patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>mSv / mGy</td>
<td>A calculated quantity that serves as a common scale in radiation protection by attributing equal values to different exposure situations that are presumed to carry roughly the same risk with regard to stochastic effects.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose (Size-Specific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSDE (Size-Specific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose Estimate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Collecting the data and performing the calculation by hand can be time consuming – making the information easily accessible across an enterprise is even more daunting.

Current Measurements of Radiation Dose in CT

The Joint Commission Sentinel Event Alert of August 24, 2011 urges the medical community to take steps to “reduce risks due to avoidable diagnostic radiation” by increasing awareness among staff and patients and providing “the right test and the right dose through effective processes, safe technology and a culture of safety.” Among the Joint Commission’s proposal are three key recommendations:

- Invest in dose reduction and optimization technologies
- Investigate dose patterns outside of appropriate ranges and tracking dose from repeated exams
- Capture dose information in the electronic medical record and in the national dose registry

Capturing an accurate estimate of radiation dose is challenging. As CT technology continues to advance so do the radiation dose metrics that quantify scanner output from multiple acquisitions. GE Healthcare’s DoseWatch* software provides a standards-based approach to estimating radiation dose and utilizes the latest analytics like size-specific dose estimate (SSDE) to align with the medical physics community.

* Effective Dose is a quantity intended for use in radiological protection and was not developed for use in epidemiological studies or other specific investigations of human exposure.
In 2011, the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM), in collaboration with the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU) and the Image Gently campaign of the Alliance for Radiation Safety in Pediatric Imaging, released the Task Group 204 report describing the conversion of CTDI\textsubscript{vol} values for the torso (chest-abdomen and/or pelvis) into something more representative of actual patient dose.\textsuperscript{7}

Using physical measurements from anthropomorphic phantoms, cylindrical phantoms and Monte Carlo measurements, the task group developed conversion factors between CTDI\textsubscript{vol} and SSDE, expressed in units of mGy. The conversion factors are based on one of five metrics: the patient AP dimension, the lateral dimension, the sum of the AP and lateral dimensions, the calculated effective diameter, or an age-based effective diameter taken from ICRU Report 74. Evaluation of SSDE shows that the combination of AP and lateral measurements, either as a sum or calculated effective diameter, is more useful than either alone.\textsuperscript{9} The age-based metric is effective for preadolescent patients (up to 13 years), but it becomes less accurate for teenage and young adult patients due to their widely varying body dimensions. Generally, the most effective method for determining SSDE is direct measurement of patient dimensions from the CT radiograph. Although uncertainties associated with SSDE can be as high as 20%, this is a significant improvement compared to CTDI\textsubscript{vol} values which can underestimate pediatric CT dose by as much as 300%.\textsuperscript{7}

In DoseWatch automated segmentation of localizer images provides patient dimensions without manual effort. By following TG204 methodology DoseWatch performs an automated calculation of SSDE using AP and/or lateral localizers. The resulting SSDE value is captured in the patient dose history.
SSDE as a Surrogate for Organ Dose

SSDE provides an estimate of average dose within the scan volume for a specific patient size and has been recognized as a reasonable estimate of organ dose to large organs contained within that volume.\textsuperscript{10, 11} Organ dose is defined as an average absorbed dose over a tissue or organ and is expressed in SI units of joules per kilogram (J kg\(^{-1}\)) or gray (Gy). As described in Publication 60 of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP, 1991), the quantity may be used to reflect the probability of subsequent stochastic effects such as radiation induced cancer. Unless the dose is fairly uniformly distributed over the organ of interest, the term is not directly relevant to deterministic effects as their occurrence is threshold-based and the dose-response is non-linear.

In the mid-1940s, it was recognized that both the type and energy of the absorbed radiation influenced the biological effect.\textsuperscript{12} This concept eventually led to the ICRP 60 definition of organ equivalent dose (\(H_T\)), which accounts for differences in cell damage potential and stochastic effects induced by different types and energies of radiation. The quantity has units of joules per kilogram (J kg\(^{-1}\)) but is given the special name sievert (Sv). It is calculated by summing the product of organ absorbed dose for each type of radiation, and that radiation’s weighting factor, \(W_R\):

\[
H_T = \sum D_{T,R} \cdot W_R
\]

For photons in diagnostic imaging, the weighting factor is 1. Note that for the same value of equivalent dose in different organs and tissues, there may be different probabilities of harm as well as different severities of injury. A more detailed discussion of radiation weighting factors is found in ICRP publications 92 and 103.

In some cases organ dose may be estimated via direct radiation measurements at sampling points on or near the tissue. However it is more often based on measurements using test objects (phantoms) or calculations stemming from mathematical models which describe organ geometry, tissue composition, and the radiation field. The derived organ dose may be substantially different from the actual dose when there are significant differences in body habitus, organ dimension or organ location relative to the phantom or model used in the calculation.\textsuperscript{11, 13, 14, 15} Caution should always be applied when attributing derived organ dose to a specific patient, even when calculated from an anthropomorphic model.

SSDE, CTDI\(_{\text{vol}}\), DLP and effective dose are current measures of radiation in CT that will evolve with technology advances. As the medical community develops new methodologies for calculating dose and regulatory requirements emerge, having a flexible yet rigorous dose monitoring system is paramount. With GE Healthcare’s DoseWatch solution you have a partner with experience and breadth in the healthcare industry to provide a dose monitoring solution that works with your changing landscape.

An Enterprise-Wide Approach to Dose Management

What if you could analyze radiation data across modalities and devices?

Join other forward-looking healthcare systems taking advantage of the troves of information they generate to discover ways to provide better care and gain a competitive edge in the marketplace. DoseWatch uses multi-modality and vendor-neutral capabilities to capture imaging radiation data across the enterprise.

What if you could identify and alleviate the causes of dose outliers?

DoseWatch can help you deliver the right dose by identifying radiation events above your threshold and providing tools that enable you to optimize dose while maintaining appropriate image quality. You’ll receive alerts notifying you when an exam has exceeded the dose threshold, so you can take immediate steps.

Not only is DoseWatch a powerful tool, it’s a cornerstone of GE Healthcare’s overall dose-management strategy focusing on people, process and technology. When you partner with GE Healthcare, you take a holistic approach to managing and controlling radiation dose.

For more information, visit www.doseoptimization.gehealthcare.com.
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